Misappropriation in the Weavers, Co-operative Society of Shigli Village.

Q.—202. **Sri SIDDAIAH KASIMATH** (Shirahatti).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether the accounts of the Weavers' Co-operative Society No. II of Shigli Village in Shirahatti Taluk have been audited ;

(*b*) the amount misappropriated according to the audit report ;

(*c*) the action that has so far been taken in the matter ?

A.—**Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA** (Deputy Minister for Co-operation).—

(*a*) Yes.—up to 1962-63.

(*b*) Rs. 3,677-94.

(*c*) A sum of Rs. 2,550 has been recovered. Show cause notice has been issued for the recovery of the balance amount.

BUDGET ESTIMATES FOR 1965—66.

DEMANDS FOR GRANTS DEMANDS No. 31—PUBLIC WORKS (*Continued*)

Sri Y. MANJAYYA SETTY (Coondapur).—Mr. Speaker, Yesterday I was dealing with the development of the Coondapur port and Iwas telling that in the Third Five Year Plan, 15 lakhs is provided for the Development of that port and I am extremely sorry that no substantial amount has Spent for the Development of the said port. I am quoting Coondpur as an instance. The same is the fate with regard to the Development of other minor ports.

I would like to draw the attention of the Hon'ble Minister to the detailed estimates of plan schemes for 1965-66. It is seen that scheme No. 565 repair facilities to Karwar, two lakhs was provided and Rs. 1,000 has been shown in the revised estimate. Similarly for scheme No. 567, transit shed, out of 30 lakhs provided, nothing has been spent, nor even a corresponding provision made for the next year in the budget for 1965-66. In regard to scheme No. 572, 573, 574, 575, 576 it is surprising that nothing has been spent, Under the head facilities. for handling iron ore at Honnavar, not a pie has been spent. Beacon Light scheme at Honnavar, nothing has been spent. So there is almost a uniform policy with regard to this and not a single pie has been spent for the Development of ports. My friend Mr. Kolkebyle has said that the Developmental activities of the ports may be handed over to the Chief Engineer. I beg to differ from that view and I think the remedy for the disease lies in the recruitment of proper staff for undertaking this work. Marine engineers, and persons experienced in construction of ports are needed. There should be a regular staff and competent marine engineer. I wish the Government applies its mind and think of